

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,946	07/18/2003	Steve Milcetich	23-0172	4449	
40158	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WOODS FULLER SHULTZ & SMITH P.C. ATTN: JEFFREY A. PROEHL			CARTAGENA	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
P.O. BOX 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SIOUX FAL	LS, SD 57117		3754	<u>-</u> -	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(e)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,946	MILCETICH, STEVE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repleply within the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty in the statutory in the statu	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status ·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	June 2005.		
,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Appriority documents have been re Peau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Des. 425,375 to Parham in view of US 5,323,917 to Johnson et al.

Parham shows a suction cup mounted bottle holder designed to be place on top of a surface and under a bottle of fluid as seen in the Figs. 1-6, the holder holds the bottle in an angle with respect to the surface and has a recessed space with a periphery wall, a cut out in the front of the periphery wall and four rubber friction enhancing feet. The support device of Parham lacks the depth of the recessed space being uniform along the length of the recessed apace and is silent about mounting the support on the shelf of a refrigerator. Johnson shows a refrigerator rack as seen in Figs. 1-4, mounted on a refrigerator shelf to support a bottle and having peripheral walls 3, 5, 7 and 9 defining a space above wall 11 that is uniform in depth with a respect to wall 11. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Parham in a refrigerator and to include peripheral walls defining a uniform depth as taught by Johnson to provide a simple construction refrigerator rack for storing and dispensing a variety of articles.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,946 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAC 7/28/05

MAC

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700